

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/684,126	10/06/2000	Thomas R. Hull	10432/31	3216
757	7590 01/29/2004		EXAMI	NER
BRINKS HO P.O. BOX 10	OFER GILSON & LIC 395	NGUYEN, NHON D		
CHICAGO,	IL 60611	BRINKS HOFER CILSON & LIONE BECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	8
		13 FEB 1 /2004 1111	DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	
		MECETVEL		
		U U. B. BOCKET		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Date: 2-24-04 PATENT DATABASE PARTENT PARTENT DATABASE PARTENT PARTENT

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/684,126	HULL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period verified a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (5) Cause the application to be applicable.	days will be considered timely.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	ovember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	in parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11,	403 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>56-67</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>56-67</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration. Date Section Services Se	e: 2-24-04 als of ployee Entering Info: COM OUR 4-29-04				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.	Deading 7-29-04				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the examine Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is raminer. Note the attached Office.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ce Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first stranslation of the foreign language processing the stranslation of the foreign language processing the stranslation of the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the stranslation of the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the stranslation of the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the stranslation of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 at sentence of the specification visional application has been received.	ation No ived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				

Application/Control Number: 09/684,126

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed 11/04/2003
- 2. Claims 56-67 are pending in this application. Claims 56 and 62 are independent claims.

In the Amendment A, claims 1-55 are canceled, and claims 56-67 are added. This action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 56, 59, 62, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Livingston (US 6,621,590).

As per independent claim 56, Livingston teaches a graphic user interface for a printer console for controlling the printing of a document having one or more pages, wherein each of the one or more pages has associated therewith one or more media/finishing attributes, the graphic user interface comprising:

- a page representation for each of the one or more pages (68 and 84 of fig. 3A);
- a media/finishing attributes operator interface operatively coupled to each of the one or more pages for viewing and/or adding, deleting or modifying the media/finishing attributes of the

Art Unit: 2174

one or more pages, wherein the media/finishing attributes operator interface for each page may be displayed by selecting the page representation for that page (64 and 58 of fig. 3A; col. 5, lines 29-50).

As per claim 59, which is dependent claim 56, it is inherent in Livingston's system to further comprising a pointing device, and wherein the media/finishing attributes operator interface is displayed when the pointing device is manipulated over the selected page representation.

As per independent claim 62, it is a similar scope to claim 56; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

As per claim 65, which is dependent on claim 62, it is a similar scope to claim 59; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 57, 58, 60, 61, 63, 64, 66, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livingston in view of Habib et al. ("Habib", US 5,694,610).

Application/Control Number: 09/684,126

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 57, which is dependent on 56, Livingston does not disclose the media/finishing attributes operator interface for each page is coupled via a button palette operatively coupled to the selected page. Habib discloses a page setup up button palette that operatively coupled to a specific page (203 of fig. 2). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Habib of a page setup up button palette that operatively coupled to a specific page in Livingston's system since it would allow all the page setup attributes appear on the palette and make it easier for users to control the attributes via the buttons.

As per claim 58, which is dependent on claim 57, modified Livingston does not disclose the button palette is comprised of a floating button palette which hovers above the user interface or may be hidden and triggered to appear when needed by the operator. The Examiner takes Official Notice that a popup window is hidden and triggered to appear when needed by an operator is well known in the Windows operating system. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to add popup feature to the button palette in modified Livingston's system since it would conserve the display space.

As per claims 60 and 61, which are both dependent on claims 56, Livingston does not disclose the media/finishing attributes operator interface is comprised of a pull down menu and the media/finishing attributes operator interface is comprised of a dialog box. Habib discloses a page setup include a pull down menu and a dialog box (203 of fig. 2). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to use the teaching from Habib of a page setup

Application/Control Number: 09/684,126

Art Unit: 2174

include a pull down menu and a dialog box in Livingston's system since it would make it easier for users to control the attributes via the pull down menu and dialog box.

As per claim 63, which is dependent on claim 62, it is a similar scope to claim 57; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

As per claim 64, which is dependent on claim 63, it is a similar scope to claim 58; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

As per claim 66, which is dependent on claim 62, it is a similar scope to claim 60; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

As per claim 67, which is dependent on claim 62, it is a similar scope to claim 61; therefore, it should be rejected under similar scope.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 56-67 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2174

US 5923013 A to Suzuki, Tadashi et al. discloses Print control system and method for controlling the system in page by page basis.

US 6616359 B1 to Nakagiri, Koji et al. discloses Print control method and apparatus.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5:30 PM with every other Friday off.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen January 22, 2004

DOV POPOVICI \ \ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100